1 2 3	KAEMPFER CROWELL Louis M. Bubala III, No. 8974 Ryan Daniels, No. 13094 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 792-7000 Facsimile: (702) 796-7181 Email: lbubala@kenvlaw.com	
4		
5	Email: rdaniels@kcnvlaw.com	
6	Attornevs for FirstKev Homes, LLC	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9	FLETCHER HOWE,	Case No. 2:22-cv-01166-JCM-DJA
10	Plaintiff,	
11	vs.	DEFENDANT FIRSTKEY HOMES, LLC'S AND PLAINTIFF FLETCHER HOWE'S
12	FIRSTKEY HOMES, LLC; DOES I THROUGH X INCLUSIVE; and, ROE CORPORATIONS 1 through X, inclusive,	JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
14 15	Defendants.	(First Request)
16		
17	Defendant, FirstKey Homes, LLC, by and through its counsel, Kaempfer	
18	Crowell and Plaintiff, Fletcher Howe, by and through his counsel Kristina Holman,	
19	move to extend time to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's complaint until	
20		
21	January 3, 2023. This is the parties first request. This motion is based on the	
22	pleadings and papers on file herein, FRCP 6(b), the following memorandum of	
23		
,		

KAEMPFER CROWELL

3294658_1.docx Page 1 of 6

points and authorities, the declaration of Ryan W. Daniels, and the argument of counsel as may be heard by this Court.

Dated: December 1, 2022

KAEMPFER CROWELL

/s/ Ryan W. Daniels

Louis M. Bubala III, No. 8974 Ryan W. Daniels, No. 13094 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Attorneys for Defendant FirstKey Homes, LLC

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

This Court issued a Summons to FirstKey Homes, LLC on July 20, 2022. ECF No. 2. On November 3, 2022 Plaintiff Fletcher Howe returned an executed summons noting that the complaint had been served on October 18, 2022. The answer was due on November 8, 2022. Due to excusable neglect, FirstKey has not yet filed an answer. As soon as FirstKey's counsel learned it had been served the summons and complaint, counsel reached out to Howe's counsel to see if Howe would agree to an extension of 30 days to answer or respond to the complaint. Ex. A, Declaration of Ryan Daniels. Howe graciously agreed to the extension. Ex. A.

24

21

22

23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

AEMPFER

CROWELL

3294658 1.docx

Plaintiff's complaint until January 3, 2023. **ARGUMENT** II.

FirstKey therefore respectfully moves this Court to extend the time to answer

Under FRCP 6(b)(1)(B), a court may extend the time for a defendant to answer a complaint "on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect." Excusable neglect is an equitable doctrine which takes "account of all relevant circumstances surrounding the party's omission." Pioneer Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Assocs. Ltd. P'ship, 507 U.S. 380, 395, 113 S. Ct. 1489, 1498, 123 L. Ed. 2d 74 (1993). "To determine whether a party's failure to meet a deadline constitutes "excusable neglect," courts must apply a four-factor, equitable test, examining: (1) the danger of prejudice to the opposing party; (2) the length of the delay and its potential impact on the proceedings; (3) the reason for the delay; and (4) whether the movant acted in good faith." Morrow v. Fess Sec., No. 220CV00307APGBNW, 2021 WL 1084768, at *1 (D. Nev. Feb. 25, 2021) (cleaned up).

Here, there is no danger of prejudice to Howe as he agreed to the extension. In fact, the parties have discussed the possibility of settlement during this extension. Moreover, Howe has not sought entry of default and courts favor having cases tried on the merits. Allowing FirstKey this extension will permit the matter to be considered on its merits. This factor weighs in favor of granting an extension.

The additional delay in answering or responding to the complaint will be short—FirstKey is only seeking until January 3, 2023. FirstKey seeks this extension because it requires additional time to prepare a responsive pleading to the Plaintiff's Complaint and assess other means to resolve this complaint.

Finally, the delay is due to inadvertence. Nonetheless, as soon as counsel learned Howe had served FirstKey, counsel reached out to Howe's counsel to discuss the situation. Despite this initial inadvertence, FirstKey has diligently and in good faith sought to remedy its excusable neglect.

CONCLUSION Ш.

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant FirstKey an extension of time to answer or respond to Plaintiff's complaint until January 3, 2023.

DATED December 1, 2022.

HOLMAN LAW OFFICE

KAEMPFER CROWELL

By: /s/Kristina S. Holman

Kristina S. Holman, No. 3742 8275 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 215

Las Vegas, NV 89123

By: /s/ Ryan W. Daniels

Louis M. Bubala III, No. 8974 Ryan W. Daniels, No. 13094

1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650

Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

20

24

Attorney for Plaintiff Fletcher Howe

Attorneys for Defendant FirstKey Homes, LLC

IT IS SQ ORDERED.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: 12/2/2022

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I certify that I am an employee of KAEMPFER CROWELL, and that on the 3 date below, I caused the foregoing DEFENDANT FIRSTKEY HOMES, LLC'S 4 AND PLAINTIFF FLETCHER HOWE'S JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND 5 TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S 6 **COMPLAINT** (First Request) to be served via CM/ECF and/or First Class Mail 7 (where indicated) addressed to the following: 8 9 Kristina S. Holman, No. 3742 Holman Law Office 10 8275 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 215 Las Vegas, NV 89123 11 kholman@kristinaholman.com 12 Attorney for Plaintiff Fletcher Howe 13 14 DATED December 1, 2022. 15 /s/ Linda Gilman 16 an employee of Kaempfer Crowell 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

KAEMPFER CROWELL

3294658_1.docx Page 5 of 6

KAEMPFER CROWELL

3294658_1.docx

EXHIBIT "A" DECLARATION OF RYAN W. DANIELS

EXHIBIT "A"

DECLARATION OF RYAN DANIELS

Ryan W. Daniels declares as follows:

- 1. I am competent to testify as to the matters in this Declaration and they are based upon my own personal knowledge.
- 2. I make this Declaration in support of Defendant FirstKey Homes, LLC's motion to extend time to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's complaint.
- 3. On December 1, 2022, FirstKey's out-of-state counsel contacted my office and informed me that they had just learned from FirstKey that it had been served the summons and complaint in this matter on October 18, 2022.
- 4. FirstKey's out-of-state counsel had learned about the service of the complaint when it was monitoring court dockets on December 1, 2022 and found an entry in this case for November 3, 2022 which stated: "SUMMONS Returned executed by Fletcher Howe re 1 Complaint,. FirstKey Homes, LLC served on 10/18/2022." ECF No. 6.
- 5. Out-of-state Counsel immediately contacted FirstKey to confirm that the docket entry was correct and then contacted my office.
- 6. I immediately reached out to Plaintiff Howe's counsel, Kristina Holman, to explain that I had just learned of the served complaint and requested an

extension to respond to the complaint so FirstKey could prepare a responsive pleading.

- 7. Ms. Holman graciously agreed to the extension and suggested we could also use the extended time to discuss settlement.
- 8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

/s/ Ryan W. Daniels

RYAN W. DANIELS